# Using Graphs, Matrices and Edge Lists to investigate, illuminate and improve **Ex-Post Data Harmonisation**

## **Crossmaps: A principled approach to ex-post data harmonisation and dataset integration**

Harmonising and merging data collected under different statistical classifications, taxonomies or nomenclatures is often required to analyse and compare social, political and economic phenomena across time or countries. Procedures used to achieve comparability are broadly known as **Ex-Post Harmonisation**, and

include the transformation of data collected under a **source** taxonomy into harmonised data classified according to a target taxonomy. We refer to this sub-task as a Cross-Taxonomy Transformation, and encapsulate the transformation logic in a new information structure: the **Crossmap**.

**Cross-Taxonomy Transformation** is an imputation *from source to target* data



**Crossmaps** is a unified framework for *specifying*, *validating*, *implementing*, documenting and analysing cross-taxonomy transformations

0.3 0.3





Edge List Table / Adjacency List

from	to	weights
а	AA	1.0
b	AA	1.0
С	AA	1.0
d	BB	1.0
е	CC	1.0
f	DD	0.3
f	EE	0.3
f	FF	0.4

*Transformation logic* can be validated via graph properties instead of ad-hoc assertions or line-by-line code review

**Data transformation** can be *implemented* using

validated crossmaps *via matrix multiplication* [1] performed *as database operations* on the edge list [2] **Bi-graph visualisation and** *summary techniques* can be used to *design* data provenance *documentation* [3]



Condition for preserving numeric totals





	anzsco22	anzsco22_descr	isco8	isco8_descr
	111111	11111 Chief Executive or Managing		Senior government officials
		Director	1114	Senior officials of special-interest
	111211	Corporate General Manager		organizations
	111212	Defence Force Senior Officer	1120	Managing directors and chief executives
	111311	Local Government Legislator	0110	Commissioned armed forces officers
	111312	Member of Parliament	1111	Legislators
	111399	Legislators nec		

*Metrics* based on *crossmap properties* can be used to *quantify and compare:* 

- How does the degree and extent of imputation differ between crossmaps?
- How robust are downstream results to alternative harmonisation designs?
- How much imputation has been performed on a given dataset with a given crossmap?



Split-Transformed 📔 Not-Transforme

**Crossmaps** integrates multiple complementary perspectives from graph theory, matrix algebra and relational

Which observations in a harmonised dataset have undergone the most (or least) transformation?

### databases to explore properties of ex-post

harmonised datasets and unify related

### cross-taxonomy transformation workflows.

#### References

[1] Hulliger, Beat. 1998. "Linking of Classifications by Linear Mappings." Journal of Official Statistics 14 (January): 255–66.

[2] Zhou, Xiantian, and Carlos Ordonez. 2020. "Matrix Multiplication with SQL Queries for Graph Analytics." In 2020 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), 5872–73. Atlanta, GA, USA IEEE

https://doi.org/10.1109/BigData50022.2020.9378275.

[3] Huang, Cynthia A. 2023. "Visualising Category Recoding and Numeric Redistributions." August 12, 2023 http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.06535.

#### Acknowledgements

Thank you to Laura Puzzello for her ongoing support and funding of earlier iterations of this work. Many thanks also to Rob Hyndman, Sarah Goodwin, Simon Angus, Emi Tanaka, Patrick Li, Mitch O'Hara-Wild and my other colleagues at Monash EBS and Monash SoDa Labs for their helpful guidance, feedback and suggestions. The author is supported in part by top-up scholarships from Monash Data Futures Institute and the Statistical Society of Australia.

# Cynthia A. Huang

Department of Econometrics and Business Statistics, Monash University Supervised by Prof. Rob J Hyndman, Dr. Sarah Goodwin and Assoc. Prof. Simon Angus

